

SLU Landscape Steering Group Strategy meeting

Date and time: April 15th 2021, 13.30-16.00

Attending: Ingrid Sarlöv Herlin (vice chair), Bruno Santesson, Caroline Hägerhäll, Nina Vogel (15.00), Lars Johansson (16.00), Karl Lövré, Matilda Alfengård

Not able to attend: Caroline Dahl

Place: Zoom meeting

Minutes taken by: Matilda Alfengård

Agenda and objectives overview *Agree on action priority order within the Strategic Action Plan for SLU Landscape. Updates on LARK-programme 50th anniversary/ Jubilee actions.*

Opening

Ingrid Sarlöv Herlin welcomed everyone to the meeting and went through the agenda. (Since Caroline (chair) was not able to attend due to illness.)

Reports

CHAIR/VICE CHAIR SLU L Updates (ISH)

Gunilla Lindholm has officially finished her work for SLU Landscape. The working group now has the following composition: Anna Robling, SoL (convener organizer), Matilda Alfengård, LAPF (liaison to SG, facilitator), Maria Wisselgren, SoL (CFI representative), Johan Wirdelöv, LAPF (PhD forum representative) Anna Maria Pálsdóttír, IMS (Teachers forum representative). Research –least clear anchoring in SLU Landscape's organization – a long term issue.

SOL (BS/LJ)

Preparing for year three of the LING programme. New professor, professor in governance and management – all four professors in place. Regarding ECLAS, will send out theme, schedule and various sessions with encouragement to take responsibility for moderate/lead sessions – represent SLU Landscape clearly.

LAPF (ISH)

New master programme; Food and Landscape and new bachelor programme; Forest and Landscape. Academic dissertation for licentiate degree April 29 - Thomas Lexén. Lars and Ingrid part of discussion regarding the *samverkanslektors* mission. Formulated an addition (request from the faculty) to the previous given assignment. Håkan will negotiate regarding 5 collaboration missions within the faculty.

IMS (CH)

Anna Maria Pálsdóttír will give her associate professor lecture. The masterprogramme OHW will be part of a quality evaluation.

UF (NV)

UF arranged a workshop about (living) lab landscapes during SLU Landscape Days, working on a report regarding this – relates to the campus development. UF and Future Food build a webpage Food & Cities - an arena for teaching programmes and research in this cross-disciplinary field. Per-Anders Langendahl, coordinator Food and Cities, started in UF in March. Upcoming launch of UrbanScapes - a complementary web page – 'a gate to an urban SLU', linking different areas of knowledge together. Report written within UF's collaboration with SLU Global; identifying key research themes within urbanization in the global south with link to SDG 11. New position (at Movium's and UF's *Power House*): coordinator for urban transformation processes & transdisciplinary collaboration, to form new synergies and support researchers within SLU as well as external collaborators.

LTV (KL)

KL informed about the strategic investments. 3 calls; interdisciplinary projects, support strategic, best practices. There are relatively few persons from landscape -who are involved in interdisciplinary project applications. KL asks to remind the teachers, since no applications has been made from Landscape.

The board of education tries to find structures for prioritization and reduction. The landscape education is no exception from possible reduction. PA has contacted PN regarding how to respond to this. New programs and a general increase of applicants will result in a high production. Reduction in the number of students in the LAM programme (-5 places/students). Implemented doubling project and redistribution within SLU. There is a small risk of structural transformation, landscape educations generously represented with LARK, LING, LAM, HSU, OHW. Reflection regarding the need to have better contact with the industries, must mobilize, difficult if we don't have the industries with us.

Reflections on SLU Landscape Days

Everyone agreed that the program was of high quality, with a good spread and variety of workshops and inspiring key notes that invited a lot of reflection. NV and CH emphasized that it was good that the fika activity was connected to key note speakers. ISH thought it would have been good with more time for discussion after key note presentations. KL participated in the first key note and thought it was interesting with the Icelandic perspective, especially the analysis of the skies. LJ attended the second key note and thought it was interesting. Inform during info meetings of the units that it is possible to send comments and feedback to MA (since the planning group requested it).

Strategic Action Plan

MA presented the strategic action plan. The actions differ in several ways, we have different possibilities to work with these – a mix of overarching and minor questions. Reactions to the order of priority were requested and a discussion on the division of responsibilities for the actions began.

0.1 Gemensam röst t.ex. i remissvar

New action! A need to identify what referrals we need to make joint statements on. A discussion regarding if there should be a new system and how this can be coordinated. A need to differentiate between external and internal referrals. A continued discussion regarding this.

1.1 Generate statistic

Responsible: KL

KL will start to coordinate this with the faculty economist and the education leader until next SG meeting.

7.1 Extend LTV Faculty level funding

KL: confirmed

KL: Confirmed, continued funding from the faculty. It is possible to argue for increased funding.

7.2 Budget to compensate WG

Responsible: ISH + LJ

Previous stated that it wasn't possible. ISH and LJ will discuss this in dialogue with Håkan.

6.2 Annual SLU Landscape goals

Responsible SG chair

LJ: There must be several who are responsible. NV: We all work with this, and discuss this in SG. The chair of the SG is responsible.

6.4 Facilitator and project consultant

Reframe

Discussion whether this action needs to be reformulated. Andrea Kahn used to be facilitator and project consultant. NV: We need a facilitator, and we have it now.

2.4 SLU Horticulture

Reframe

Discussion regarding how this action should be understood. NV: How can SLU landscape support in collaboration processes, how we can work beyond SLU Landscape, collaboration with SLU Horticulture. KL: Living labs is a great collaboration project. Rename and reframe before decision on who. Discussion subject – later.

2.3 New Faculty Linkage update → Cross Faculty Linkage Responsible: NV/UF

LJ: This action could be seen as two actions – as it is both externally and internally. NV: Can think of this in different levels – strategically. Can be worked with, within SLU Landscape Days. Plan and invite people who are not typical “Landscape”, from other faculties – work strategically cross faculty. ISH: Maybe it’s “Cross Faculty Linkage” the action should be named. CH: A lot of focus is given to who, also important to discuss what (applies to all actions) through which activities will targets be met. Identify activities and create a form of checklist for the Landscape days – for example there is a need for several faculties. What happens between the landscape days? Use WG through SG. NV: UF can stimulate this in collaboration with SG.

4.2 Online Web news

Responsible: BS

NV: It concerns both maintenances, but also about content. Who has the right or liberty to produce content? BS: Maria and Bruno have had regular meetings with Catherine K, and also discussed the facebook page. Bruno is responsible together with a communications team. Participating: Maria Wisselgren, Alva Lindvall, Hanna Weiber Post, Caroline Hägerhäll. Ask CD regarding a name from Movium.

4.4 SLU: L on dept. Info ETC

Responsible: ISH/LJ

Via everyone on each department/unit. At prefects meeting ISH and LJ.

6.1 Roles and responsibilities

Responsible: SG (chair).

A discussion regarding that this action is marked as very difficult, which it should not be considered as. NV: Strategic action plan is a strategic asset in order to identify roles, responsibilities and new subjects (as in the discussion regarding cross faculty). The overview regarding all groups, that Caroline Dahl presented at the last SG meeting is also a part of this. SG is responsible but the chairperson will make sure to put it at the agenda.

0.2 Kapacitetsbyggnad inom forskning/research forum Responsible: ISH

New action. Forum in a similar way as Teachers forum and PhD forum, in order to create more possibilities for interaction between research forums. Recommendation: bring up head of subject. Connect to faculty and invite Thomas Österman.

4.3 SLU:L Tagline

Responsible: BS

BS: During the department meetings we have discussed to have it on every digital business card. Many has done this but not all, will be brought up to the department level.

3.4 SLU Landscape webpage

Responsible: BS

ISH: Missing a direct link from SoLs webpage to SLU Landscape webpage BS: We are working with the webpage and will include it. Participants: Maria Wisselgren. Catherine Kihlström, Maria and MA have a meeting scheduled regarding this. MA sends the meeting invitation to BS.

4.1 Collective body

ISH processing

Several considered this action as difficult. ISH: Important to go together, for example working with debate articles. ISH referred to the discussion about referrals. ISH: We are representing one field. KL: A greater impact if we worked together and raise questions – both centrally and on a faculty level. ISH: By signing with all units, we can help sort what SLU Landscape is all about. KL: SLU Landscape

should be more active in contributing to the board of education, for example why to keep the landscape educations. LJ: Important that we act against the board of education. ISH will process and return with a new formulation.

3.2 Expand Established Forums

Responsible: SG (chair)

Both teaching forum and PhD forum has been active and taken steps forward. CFI has been harder to run during the pandemic – evaluate CFI?

It is the forum themselves that is responsible to invite/expand. SG is responsible to make sure they continue with that, check and discuss with the different forums and make sure that it will be a new group later (depends in how active/passive the forum is).

5.2 Campus knowledge

Responsible: Karl Lövrje

KL: Interesting to see how the landscape competence comes into the process that is going on, but you can't take leadership here. One from Ultuna is involved in the Alnarp process - and vice versa. Emily Wade is involved in the Ultuna process. Discussion about whether there should be another from SLU Landskap and what role it has in relation to Emily and Petter. KL: Comments on the documents produced (planning principles, guiding principles) - would have been interesting to get input there. It may be a little late to plug it in now.

50th anniversary

Tiina used the final part of SLU Landscape Days to open up for dialogue and engage all colleagues. Tiina presented those actions and activities that were discussed within SG. Suggestions, ideas and reactions have already been received (possible to send to MA, deadline 1st of May). Tiina has been in contact with *Arkitekten*, who will write an article – create attention. For driving the work forward, the activities need to be better integrated with each other and decisions on budget, time span (When (start)? How long?) and target group/s are needed.

KL suggested turning to SLU Alumn, call for pictures from previous students. ISH proposed inviting honorary doctors for example; Catharine Ward Thompson, Ann Whiston Sprin.

When: A discussion followed about when the different activities should start. CH proposed that the collection of pictures can begin early. ISH emphasized that round table require a special context to be introduced in, unless it is in connection with SLU Landscape days –otherwise it can be difficult to get people to prioritize them. Concentrate the activities to 1(-2) week(s) and the celebration in connection with SLU Landscape Days (October, 13-14).

Target group: A clear target group analysis is needed, and the activities/actions should be adapted to this. Different target groups for the different activities. The digital product: The board of education (show what we have achieved since they wants to save on LARK) or another Swedish target group? The celebration: General invitation? Those who worked here?

Budget: Discussion on how these 100 000 SEK should be distributed. Benefit from combining the celebration with SLU Landscape Days. Fee for those that are invited? CH: Keep in mind the different activities lifespan when allocating.

MA sends out a new meeting invitation to SG and Tiina, to continue the discussion around the 50th anniversary.

Ingrid thanked everyone for participating! Meeting closed at 16.13!