

### Pesticides in Swedish surface and groundwater – results from a comprehensive screening study 2015

Gustaf Boström, Bodil Lindström, Mikaela Gönczi & Jenny Kreuger

*Workshop on Pesticide fate in soil and water in the northern zone Uppsala 2016-09-08* 



Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (SLU), Uppsala, Sweden

### Background

- The long-term Swedish national pesticide monitoring program includes 4 smaller catchments and 2 rivers – started in 2002
- In 20015 the Swedish EPA was commission by the government to conduct a comprehensive screening of pesticides (and PFASs)
- The aim was a spatial extension of the national monitoring program for both surface and groundwater
  - With focus on agriculturally intensive areas and, for groundwater, potentially vulnerable drinking water wells
- Regional authorities were invited to add sampling sites, at their own expenses, to extend the national screening program
- CKB at SLU executed the screening program







## **Overview**

#### Surface water

- 46 rivers (incl. regional)
- Medium sized catchments (ca 20-100 km<sup>2</sup>)
- > 40% arable land (typically)
- 1 5 samples/location
- May October
- 131 substances analysed, LOD ca 0.1 - 10 ng/L

#### Groundwater

- 54 private drinking water wells
- 18 municipal groundwater works (regional)
- 1 sample/location
- July October
- 108 substances analysed, LOD ca 1 - 10 ng/L (plus nutrients and microbes)







- Widely used herbicides dominated
- Overall 72 different substances detected
- At least one substance ≥ 0.1 µg/l in 45 % of samples





















- Fewer detects ≥ EQS/WQO than the national program
- Not only herbicides dominating
- At least one substance ≥ EQS/WQO in 10 % of the samples



Frequency ≥ EQS/WQO

# samples to the right





- Banned herbicides dominated
- Overall 42 different substances detected
- At least one substance ≥ 0.1 µg/l in 20 % of the wells



■ Detections  $\geq$  0.1 µg/l = Detections < 0.1 µg/l









SLU







- 39 % of the wells had unsuitable water due to high levels of bacteria (E. coli and/or coliform bacteria) and nitrate
- Wells owners with findings ≥ 0.1 µg/l (i.e. 20 % of the wells) were offered a new analysis (9 out of 11 accepted)
- Results were in most cases altered
  - In 3 wells total conc. increased
  - In 5 wells total conc. decreased
  - In 2 wells additional substances  $\geq 0.1 \ \mu g/l$
  - Only in 1 well no longer any exceedances of a limit value (glyphosate conc. of 0.24 μg/l in first sample now < LOD)</li>





### Conclusions



- Screening results 2015 were consistent with the results from the long-term national monitoring programme, though generally lower concentrations and fewer findings above EQS/WQO (ecotox) values
  - Larger catchments and less arable land in the screening study vs the long-term monitoring program
- In <u>surface water</u> mainly currently used herbicides with widespread agricultural applications
  - Median 10 substances/sample
- In private <u>drinking water wells</u> mainly banned pesticides
  - originating from non-agricultural applications
    - Median 1 substance/sample





# Thank you for your attention!

 The report (in Swedish, with English summary) can be downloaded from <u>www.slu.se/ckb</u>



 Funding from the Swedish Environmental Protection Agency is gratefully acknowledged



Gustaf Boström, Bodil Lindström, Mikaela Gönczi och Jenny Kreuger

Nationell screening av bekämpningsmedel i yt- och grundvatten 2015



CKB rapport 2016:1		Uppsala 2016
Kompetenscer Sveriges lantb	ntrum för kemiska b ruksuniversitet	ekämpningsmedel
Centre for Che Swedish Unive	mical Pesticides rsity of Agricultural	I Science
		KompetensCentrum för Kemiska Bekämpningsmedel
and the second	NATIONELL MILLOW CONTINUES	CVD





